Texas Law Review

Volume 66
1987-1988
INDEX

Copyright © 1987, 1988
by the Texas Law Review
Austin, Texas

Printed in U.S.A.

INDEX-DIGEST

A

ACADEMIC FREEDOM

The abuse of the right to discipline faculty members for intramural speech as impinging upon protected professional speech 1344-45
Academic freedom balanced with social concerns 1419-20
Academic freedom as a claim of the faculty's status as part of the governing structure of the university 1333-38, 1340-42
Academic freedom contrasted with academic values 1406-07
Academic freedom and free speech as overlapping, yet divergent, principles 1323-24, 1346-49
Academic freedom as a sharing of values within the academic community 1577
Academic freedom as a special freedom based on the university's role in society 1485, 1487-89, 1520-24, 1565
Academic freedom as a subset of first amendment rights based on the marketplace of ideas theory 1482-87
Academic freedom as institutional autonomy 1481-82
Academic freedom as freedom of professional utterance 1332-33
Academic freedom for Catholic theologians as aiding in the development of church doctrine .. 1446-53
Academic freedom in American Catholic universities as a possible prerequisite for government funding of those universities 1445-46, 1473-74
Academic values and interests emphasized over individual rights in recent AAUP reports 1382
Agreement with Professor Gray's argument for protecting faculty from other faculty and students ... 1617
American Association of University Professors' (AAUP) balancing test for confidentiality of faculty personnel process 1607
American Association of University Professors (AAUP) Declaration of Principles 1267, 1270-85, 1365-68
AAUP's 1940 Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure 1368-69
AAUP's 1964 Statement on Preventing Conflicts of Interest in Government-Sponsored Research at Universities analyzed and contrasted with earlier policies ... 1369-71
AAUP emphasized institutional neutrality rather than institutional autonomy 1277-81
Analysis of conflict between academic freedom and nondiscrimination .. 1592-94
Analysis of notable university-based discrimination cases and their tendency to defer to institutional decisions 1595-1600
Analysis of Professor Gray's discussion of qualified privilege in the faculty peer review system ... 1624-26
Analysis of selected University research policies concerning secrecy of research results 1393-1401
Analysis of state-employer motives for action which allegedly infringe constitutional rights 1310-16
Antifoundational attacks on the marketplace of ideas theory as undercutting protections for academics based on this theory .. 1524-30
Application of classical discrimination theory in Title VII litigation involving university faculty 1602-05
The application of *Connick v. Myers* to more fully protect academic freedom 1345-46
Arguments for broad academic freedom based on professional autonomy and progressive views of labor-management relations criticized as over-extensive 1351-57
Arguments for broad academic freedom based on the concept of the university as a marketplace of ideas criticized as over-extensive . 1360-62
Attempts by administration and faculty to exclude professors who hold certain views and otherwise to limit diversity in the academy as threats to academic freedom 1565-75
Balancing state-employer interests against the academic's first amendment interests 1305-10
The benefits for Catholic universities of allowing broad academic freedom to their faculty 1456-57
The chilling effect of leaving faculty intramural utterance unprotected . 1342-44
Classical form and definition of academic freedom and its emphasis on individual rights 1591-92
Classified and proprietary research funding contrasted 1394-98
Confidentiality's importance in peer review proceedings 1422-23
Conflict between academic freedom and judicial enforcement of

equitable treatment in Title VII harassment cases 1612-15
Constitutional academic freedom protects schoolteachers as well as professionals, despite disparate agendas of those groups 1295-1301
The constitutionally required autonomy of churches to determine the appropriate level of academic freedom in their own universities 1457, 1460-67
Criticism of the view that faculty intramural speech is constitutionally protected 1338-45
Criticism of constitutional academic freedom as a vague concept 1281-92
The current status of academic freedom 1247-49
A defense of the marketplace of ideas theory that accounts for antifoundational criticisms and preserves the theory as a justification for free academic discourse 1541-45
Defining academic freedom 1265-99, 1364-65
Description of courts as extremely deferential to institutions accused of discrimination because of judicial identification with the academic power structure 1595-1600
The development of greater academic freedom for faculty of religious institutions 1261-63, 1441-42
The development of protections for employee speech through *Pickering v. Board of Education* 1327-30
Difficulty in setting professional standards that define academic freedom 1424-26
The dilemma of how to expand the first amendment protections of faculty intramural speech .. 1251-52, 1362
Disparate impact theory of discrimination has met with little success where qualifications are professional 1601-02
The distinction between free faculty intramural speech as a sound management policy and as a constitutionally protected freedom. 1360
Distinction between professional and constitutional academic freedom by which potential wrongdoers the concepts address 1292-96
Earlier incarnation of institutional freedom in *Sweezy v. New Hampshire* 1312-16
Effect of changing conditions of American colleges and universities on academic freedom 1371-74
Effect of institutional research policies on faculty autonomy and individual rights 1389-93
Exclusion of academics below the college level from the scope of professional academic freedom 1272
Exclusion of *Lernfreiheit*, freedom to learn, from AAUP principles of academic freedom 1272
Existence of qualified privilege surrounding university faculty peer review system 1606-08
Existing safeguards against theological mistakes as rendering unnecessary restrictions on the academic freedom of Catholic theologians 1453-54, 1459-60
Expansion of concept to include freedom beyond teaching and research 1274-76
Expansion of constitutional academic freedom to students 1301-05
Faculty abuses of academic freedom 1416-18
Faculty autonomy as interfering with intellectual inquiry 1405-06, 1416
Faculty research policies, rather than policies prepared by university administrators, preserve academic freedom 1388
Faculty tenure promotes academic freedom 1427
Formation of the American Association of University Professors 1267-69
For several decades after the AAUP declaration, academic freedom had no constitutional stature 1285-86
Free debate on fundamental issues of institutional government as detrimental to the community of values important to academic freedom 1583-88
German tripartite principles of academic freedom 1267-69
Historical development of academic freedom 1412-15
"Hostile environment" claims in academic settings 1626-27
The impact of communal authority on academic freedom 1629-30
Influence of AAUP policy statements on universities and courts 1428-29
Influence of out ide forces upon the academy as undermining the marketplace of ideas theory 1536-39
Initial failure of free speech claims by academics in *Adler v. Board of Education of New York* 1287-89
Institutional autonomy as a defense to faculty discrimination claims ... 1595
Institutional neutrality in first amendment religion cases 1319-22
Intramural speech and the status of the faculty 1335-38
Intramural speech of public employees under the first amendment and *Connick v. Myers* 1249-50, 1325-26, 1330-32
Judicial deference in faculty discrimination cases and its effect on plaintiff's remedies 1608-10

Judicial deference to institutional faculty decisions in sex discrimination cases 1596-1600
Lack of success noted in reverse discrimination suits by white male faculty members 1610-12
The legal irrelevance of a church's attitude toward academic freedom in deciding whether courts should enforce academic freedom in universities the church supports .. 1466-67
The legally implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing as not requiring academic freedom for faculty members of religious universities 1468-69
Limits of the Seligman committee concept of academic freedom, which protected only faculty already within the academy from threats from the outside 1282
Limits on absolute freedom of speech at universities through harassment claims as claims as a threat to academic freedom 1613-15
Limits on the right of faculty intramural speech 1345
Local school boards' authority to remove books from school libraries 1316-18
The McCarran-Walter Act's effect of preventing or discouraging foreign academics from visiting American universities 1496-1500, 1548
McCarthy era's influence on academic freedom 1413-16
Need for oversight of faculty peer groups discussed 1411-12
The need for expanded academic freedom in religious universities is commensurate with the expanding influence of religious organizations 1477-80
Obsolescence of traditional concept of academic freedom in light of modern conditions at American colleges and universities 1373-74
Outside influences on university curricula 1481
Peer review as a monitor of academic freedom 1410-12, 1421-27
Possible responses to antifoundational attacks on the marketplace of ideas theory 1539-42
Practical limits on academic freedom 1617-18
Problems with inferring a right to academic freedom from contracts between faculty and religious universities 1468-73
Professional norms as limit on academic freedom 1409-10
Proof problems under title VII litigation involving university faculty 1600-05
Reaction of feminist academic community to colleague who served as an expert witness for defendant in *EEOC v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.* 1630-32
Reasons for the development of greater academic freedom for the faculty of Catholic colleges 1442-47
Reasons why the Catholic Church might wish to limit the academic freedom of its theologians 1457-58
Recent Title VII cases and the trend toward a lesser burden for plaintiffs in professional employment 1604-05
The relevance of the efficient management rationale of *Connick v. Myers* to faculty intramural speech 1252-53
Restrictions on alien speech as incompatible with academic freedom 1259-61
Restrictions on alien speech as particularly affecting the academy 1558-59
The role of the critical method in the marketplace of ideas 1530-36
Sponsored research and the role of faculty as the protector of academic freedom 1256-57
Sponsored research as restricting the free exchange of ideas and threatening academic freedom .. 1257-58, 1374-84, 1416-21
Standards for evaluating universities' academic freedom policies 1418
The strengths and weaknesses of peer review as a means of preserving academic freedom 1253-54
Supreme Court revamped academic freedom to include institutional autonomy 1310-12
The tension between peer review and equal opportunity 1254-57
Title VII as protecting educational institution employees 1598-1600
Traditional concept of academic freedom 1408-12
Traditional limits on academic freedom as reflecting concrete policy concerns 1484-85
Two types of academic freedom 1412
The unavoidable risks of free expression in the academy and the role of academic freedom of encouraging intellectual risk taking 1577-83
Uncertainty about the application of *Connick v. Myers* to faculty intramural speech 1250-51
Uncertainty about the authority of a religious university administration to grant academic freedom to faculty members and thereby compromise the autonomy of the church 1472-73
The university as a marketplace of ideas 1483-84

Universities' policies on substantive content of research 1402-04
University response to immigration laws restricting the entry of aliens espousing communist views 1494-95
Vatican legislation that could threaten academic freedom in American Catholic institutions... 1447-49
Withholding academic rewards as a means of restricting faculty acceptance of secret research 1388-89

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

The benefits of openness to a democratic form of government .. 1195-97
Broad view of "meeting," arguments for opening the collective inquiry stage of the decision-making process to public scrutiny 1206-08
Collective inquiry, deliberation, and decision stages of the decision-making process 1205-06
Failure of an open collective inquiry stage to advance the goals open government embodied in the Federal Sunshine Act 1213-16
Interpretive issues under the Federal Sunshine Act, definition of meeting 1203-05
Legislative history of the Federal Sunshine Act 1216-20
Meeting, definition under the Federal Sunshine Act 1201-03
Narrow view of "meeting," arguments for not opening the collective inquiry stage of the decision-making process to public scrutiny 1208-16
The "predetermine action" test for determining if deliberations are a meeting 1220
Purposes and general requirements of the Federal Sunshine Act 1198-1200
The "significant advancement" test for determining if deliberations are a meeting 1225-26
The Supreme Court's view of meeting under the Federal Sunshine Act, *Federal Communications Commission v. ITT World Communications, Inc.* 1221-24
Written agendas as a means of confining nonpublic meetings to exempt deliberations 1225-26

ADMIRALTY

Application of legal causation 146-52
"Condition, not cause" description, similarity to las;t clear chance 139-40
"Duty" and "clear view" cases 137-38
Impact of *Reliable Transfer* decision . 152-56
"Major-minor" fault rule 141-44
Origin of last clear chance doctrine .. 133-34
Pure cooperative negligence standard 135-36

AGENCY

Uncertainty about the authority of a religious university administration to grant academic freedom to faculty members and thereby compromise the autonomy of the church 1472-73

ANTITRUST

Academic support for the application of antitrust law to labor combinations 954-55
The application of the Interstate Commerce Act to labor combinations 952-53
The application of the Sherman Act to labor combinations 950-54, 956-58
The closed shop movement and academic support for the application of antitrust law to labor combinations 955-56
The concern for consumer welfare as affecting attitudes at the turn of the century toward labor combinations 927-28
Early use of the Sherman Act 948-50
Economic differences between labor combinations and business combinations 946-48
The hostility of the labor law literature of the late nineteenth century toward labor combinations 925-32
Labor injunctions under the Sherman and Clayton Acts 962-64
Late nineteenth century political economics theories about combinations 924-25
The nineteenth century common law of trade restraints 932-35
Nineteenth century views of competition as affecting attitudes toward labor organization and trade restraints 935-38
The peculiar vulnerability of labor combinations under the antitrust laws 958-65
Reasons for labor's choice not to incorporate to protect itself from Sherman Act attacks 958-62
Turn of the century view that labor combinations are economically inefficient because they lead to increased costs without increased productivity 938-45
Turn of the century views of business combinations as increasing efficiency 938-39
Views about the labor supply at the turn of the century as affecting attitudes toward labor combinations 928-31
The wage fund doctrine as supporting the theory that labor combinations are inefficient 941-43

B

BANKRUPTCY

Bankruptcy Amendments and Federal Judgeship Act (BAFJA), adopted in 1984, instituted the ability-to-pay test for Chapter 13 plan confirmation 873-75
Comparison of the ability-to-pay test to the bona fide effort test 878-880
Congress failed to include clear legislative guidance in passing BAFJA 875-76
Court application of the "reasonably necessary expense" provision to religious tithing 885-87
Debtors' argument that denial of Chapter 13 status burdens religious practice 887-92
Defining maintenance or support of the debtor family 880-82
Development of the ability-to-pay test began in 1980 876-80
Establishment clause does not pose an obstacle to allowing debtor tithing 897-902
Legislative history of the "reasonably necessary expense" term as it applies to religious tithing 883-84
Less restrictive options suggested to allow limited tithing without significantly impairing creditor recovery 902-03
Policies that underlie bankruptcy relief: maximizing creditor return and debtor fresh start 892-897

BREACH OF WARRANTY

Theory of implied warranty as covering only tangible aspect of published material and not the ideas conveyed 1184-86

BROADCAST MEDIA

Cable television and diversity in the broadcast media 465-66
Diversity in the media and the marketplace of ideas 464
The identity of the broadcast and print media 454

BROADCAST REGULATION

Content regulation in the broadcast media 458-60
Lack of empirical data on the regulation of the broadcast media . 462
The limitations of the fairness doctrine 463
Past efforts to encourage diversity in the broadcast media 464-65
Private abuse as requiring regulation of the broadcast media 454
Problems with controlling the private abuse of broadcast media 457-58
The rationale of the regulation of the broadcast media 454
Regulation of the broadcast media and the quality of programming .. 461
Regulation of the broadcast media as both limiting and encouraging free speech 460-61
Technology and the regulation of the broadcast media 455-56
The unique characteristics of the broadcast media and regulation ... 454-55

C

CHOICE OF LAW

Adjudicative jurisdiction distinguished from "legislative" or "choice-of-law" jurisdiction 772
Argument for unique affiliations requirement as a prerequisite to general legislative jurisdiction 779-82
Legislative jurisdiction application to procedural law 773-74
costs of applying substantive law of the forum when contacts are tenuous 775-79

CIVIL PROCEDURE

Attorney responsibility for managing class actions 393-95
Conflicts of interest in class actions .. 395-96
Cooperation among courts and attorneys to ensure proper conduct of class actions 405-09
Costs of using deviations from the procedural norm to protect substantive values 230-31
The courts' responsibility to apply procedural rules in such a way as to give effect to constitutional values 225-27
The degree of proof required in public official defamation cases as a procedural deviation to protect first amendment rights 239-41
Early attempts to manage class actions 390
The expansion of parties in lawsuits under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 385-86
Judicial scrutiny and approval of class action settlements 397-99
Judicial scrutiny of possible conflicts of interest of class action attorneys 402-04
The lawyer's role in class actions ... 386-409
Managing class actions by controlling attorney fees 391-93
Mandatory disclosure of conflicts involving class action attorneys and class members 404

A more lenient standard for summary judgment as a procedural deviation in defamation cases 288-91, 299-300
Perfect procedure analysis of defamation law procedure 231-33
The perfect procedure model and burden of proof on summary judgment 286-88
The perfect procedure model as a means of analyzing the procedural considerations of substantive law .. 229-30
Problems of class actions and attempted remedies 393-402
The procedural costs of an editorial privilege in public person defamation cases 254-55
The procedural justification for independent appellate review in public person defamation cases 277-80, 282
Procedural standards supporting the first amendment protections against liability for defamation 220
Procedure as promoting compliance, fairness, and efficiency 228-29
Remedying problems with the actual malice standard in public person defamation cases 300-02
The requirement of adequate representation and the role of the class action lawyer 400-02
The Rules Enabling Act as a barrier to procedural innovation to implement substantive goals 230
Special need for procedures to protect first amendment values 226-27
Substantive rules as serving procedural goals, and procedural rules as serving substantive goals .. 222-25
Traditional solutions to the problem of the lawyer's role in class actions 389
Unique modification of the summary judgment procedure in *Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.* 294-98

CLASS ACTIONS

Attorney responsibility for managing class actions 393-95
Conflicts of interest in class actions .. 393-96
Cooperation among courts and attorneys as ensuing the proper conduct of class actions 405-09
Early attempts to manage class actions 390
Judicial scrutiny of possible conflicts of interest of class action attorneys 402-04
The lawyer's role in class actions ... 385-409
Managing class actions by controlling attorney fees 391-93
Mandatory disclosure of conflicts by class action attorneys and class members 404
The problems of class actions and attempted remedies 386-89
The requirement of adequate representation and the role of the class action lawyer 400-02
Traditional solutions to the problem of the lawyer's role in class actions 397-402
The unique pressures placed upon class action lawyers 389

CONSTITUTIONAL (FEDERAL)

The abuse of the right to discipline faculty members for intramural speech as impinging upon protected professional speech 1344-45
Academic freedom and free speech as overlapping, yet divergent, principles 1323-24, 1346-49
Academic freedom as a subset of first amendment rights based on the marketplace of ideas theory 1482-87
Academic freedom in American Catholic universities as a possible prerequisite for government funding of those universities 1449-50, 1473-74
Alien constitutional rights and the traditional judicial deference to the legislative and executive branches in matters of national security ... 1500-01
Apparent power of a state to prevent interstate transfers of unappropriated groundwater by restricting intrastate transfers 1145-49
Analysis of state-employer motives for action which allegedly infringes constitutional rights 1308-10
The application of *Connick v. Myers* to more fully protect academic freedom 1345-46
Application of incitement theory to cases involving reliance on published material 1162-71
Balancing state-employer interests against the academics' first amendment interests 1305-08
The chilling effect of leaving faculty intramural utterance unprotected . 1342-44
Claims of state ownership of groundwater as a possible rationale for allowing states to restrict interstate transfer 1145-52
Commerce clause as a rationale for forbidding state interference in the marketplace by discriminating against nonresidents 1117-18
Commercial speech theory applied in cases involving published material 1171-73
Common law due process governing voluntary associations 1042-49
Conflicting principles of tort liability and first amendment speech protection in cases involving reliance on published material ... 1155-59
Constitutional due process expressed as a separate right of freedom from arbitrary government action 1036-38

Costs and inefficiencies of state restrictions on the movement of goods in the marketplace 1107-09
Constitutional academic freedom protects schoolteachers as well as professionals despite those groups' disparate agendas 1297-1301
The constitutional rights of excludable and deportable aliens . 1505-11
The constitutionally required autonomy of churches to determine the appropriate level of academic freedom in their own universities 1457, 1460-67
Contracts as waivers of constitutional rights 1471-73
Criticism that constitutional academic freedom is a vague concept 1289-91
Criticism of the view that faculty intramural speech is constitutionally protected 1338-45
Debtors' argument that denial of Chapter 13 status burdens religious practice 887-92
The development of protections for employee speech through *Pickering v. Board of Education* 1327-30
The dilemma of how to expand the first amendment protections of faculty intramural speech .. 1251-52, 1362
Discrimination by a state against nonresidents through measures that increase wealth 1105-06
Discrimination by a state against nonresidents through redistribution of wealth 1105-06
Discussion of an absolute prohibition of discrimination by states against nonresidents in the movement of natural resources in the marketplace 1109-10
The distinction between free faculty intramural speech as a sound management policy and as a constitutionally protected freedom . 1630
Downstream restraints as a form of impure state subsidies 1143
Downstream restraints compare,d with pure state subsidies 1143-45
Due process as an evolving common law concept 1050-51
The economic impact of pure state subsidies 1135-38
Efficiency of allowing states to give preferences to residents on goods it creates 1112
Efficiency argument for denying states the power to restrict the flow of groundwater by restricting intrastate transfers 1145-46
Establishment clause does not pose an obstacle to allowing debtor tithing 897-902
Exempting proprietary activities of a state from the rule against giving preferences to residents 1139-43
Flaws in application of the incitement theory to reliance cases 1169-71
For several decades after the AAUp declaration, academic freedom had no constitutional stature 1285-86
Framers' of the Constitution valued protection of private contracts highly 1021
Freedom of religion as rendering unnecessary the interference of government in disputes between churches and their members 1463-65
Impure state subsidies defined 1138-39
Inefficiency of allowing states to prefer residents in the allocation of natural resources 1112-14
Initial failure of free speech claims by academics in *Adler v. Board of Education of New York* 1287-89
The institutional and epistemological bases of the belief that free speech leads to better government 1514-18
Institutional freedom, in an early incarnation, in *Sweezy v. New Hampshire* 1312-16
Institutional neutrality in first amendment religion cases 1318-22
Interstate Equality as a rationale for preventing discrimination against nonresidents by a state 1101-04
Intramural speech of public employees under the first amendment and *Connick v. Myers* 1249-50, 1324-25, 1330-32
The legally implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing as not applying in contracts between churches and their members 1468-69
Limits on the right of faculty intramural speech 1345
Local school boards' authority to remove books from school libraries 1316-17
The marketplace of ideas theory and first amendment protections of free speech 1511-14
The origin and early interpretation of the privileges and immunities clause 1118-21
Post-civil war cases and the interpretation of the privileges and immunities clause 1129-32
Pre-civil war cases and the interpretation of the privileges and immunities clause 1128-29
Pricing preferences favoring residents in the distribution of state-created goods 1114-16
Privileges and immunities clause promoting interstate commerce .. 1127-28
Privileges and immunities clause protecting business interest 1122-25
Privileges and immunities clause protecting fundamental rights ... 1125-31
Privileges and immunities clause as a rationale for forbidding state interference in the marketplace by

discriminating against nonresidents 1117-18
Proprietary activities as an impure state subsidy 1139
Pure state subsidies defined 1134-35
Published material that invites reliance deserves no special protection because it rarely addresses issues f public concern or expresses opinions 1161-62
The relevance of the efficient management rationale of *Connick v. Myers* to faculty intramural speech 1252-53
Restricting alien speech as antithetical to the marketplace of ideas theory 1486-87, 1518-20
The right of an American audience to receive information as balanced against the power of government to exclude aliens espousing certain ideas 1549-50, 1553-57, 1507
"Rule of law" implying procedural, substantive, and administrative limits on public law 1022-23
State action doctrine prevents application of the due process clause to private parties 1040-42
State interest in protecting citizens from certain types of speech 1174-76
State sovereignty as a rationale for allowing discrimination against nonresidents by a state 1104-05
Supreme Court revamped academic freedom to include institutional autonomy 1310-12
The tension between peer review of university faculty members and equal opportunity 1254-57
Uncertainty about the application of *Connick v. Myers* to faculty intramural speech 1250-51

CONTRACTS

Common law due process raised by the implied duty of good faith and fair dealing 1056-57
Contracts as waivers of constitutional rights 1471-73
Courts apply common law due process to commercial contracts occasionally 1049
Courts imply objective fair dealing in employment contracts 1065-67
Courts restrict discretion in loan contracts, thus creating an objective fair dealing standard ... 1061-64
Courts restrict discretion in satisfaction terms, thus creating an objective fair dealing standard ... 1060-61
Courts restrict discretion under franchise agreement 1064-65
Doctrine of indefiniteness no longer bars delegation of discretion ... 1029-1030
Freedom of contract in real covenant cases 539-42
Increased discretion in contract performance terms 1028-29
The legally implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing as not requiring academic freedom for faculty members of religious universities 1468-69
Minimum decencies required in form contracts 1054-55
The need for an objectivE duty of fair dealing 1057-60
Policy against forfeitures justifies asserting common law due process in contracts 1054
Problems with inferring a right to academic freedom from contracts between faculty and religious universities 1468-73
Proposition that private contracts are within Government by Law ... 1024-1025
Public policy justifies asserting common law due process in contracts 1052-54
"Rule of Law" implying procedural, substantive, and administrative limits on public law 1022-23
Substantive limits on contracting 1026
Terms implied by law as effectively bringing due process to private contract law 1055-56
The vast majority of modern contracts are form contracts, which are inherently undemocratic 1031-32

CORPORATIONS

Abolishing director liability 445-46
Business Judgment Rule
application by the courts in corporate takeover cases to defensive tactics by incumbent directors 854-65
origins 844-45
underlying policies 846-47
Business judgment rule cases after *Smith v. Van Gorkum* 420-23
Directors' duties as varying according to the decisions they make 427-28
The director's duties under *Smith v. Van Gorkum* 418-20
The director's duty of care and the business judgment rule 416-18
A director's duty to remain informal 428
Effects of director liability after *Smith v. Van Gorkum* 411-16
The enhanced duty of directors in takeover cases 423-27
Expanded indemnity as a solution to the director liability crisis 440-41
The failure of the current director liability doctrine to account for directors' interests 430-31
Legislative considerations in codifying the business judgment rule and the director's duty of care 428-29, 435-36

Limiting damages for breaches of a director's duties ... 446-47
Limiting director liability ... 444-45
Need for legislative reform of director liability law ... 436
Practical restraints on the performance of a director's role ... 432-33
Problems with expanded indemnity as a solution to the director liability crisis ... 441-43
Problems with the Delaware approach to director liability legislation ... 437-40
A proposed director liability statute ... 449-51
Proposed "objective factors" approach for analyzing takeover contest decisions of incumbent directors as an alternative to the business judgment rule ... 866-70
The rationale for director liability ... 433-35
Requiring directors to serve broader constituencies ... 447-49
Shareholder suits challenging directors' use of defensive tactics distinguished from suits challenging other business decisions ... 847-54

CRIMINAL LAW

Compelled testimony as requiring no broader protections that coerced confessions ... 374-79
Compelled testimony used for nonevidentiary purposes as not providing a link in the evidence chain ... 373-74
Disagreement over the nonevidentiary use of compelled testimony ... 371-73
Fifth amendment principles and the nonevidentiary use of compelled testimony ... 371-73
Forbidding the nonevidentiary use of compelled testimony as an equivalent to transactional immunity ... 382-83
Multilateral conventions concerning war crimes, hijacking, terrorism, apartheid and torture
Generally ... 816-19
Effect on rights and obligations of state nonparties to exercise universal criminal jurisdiction ... 823-34
Nonevidentiary use under Supreme Court decisions after *Kastigar v. United States* ... 363-67
"Piracy" defined under international law ... 795-98
Proof of no nonevidentiary use under *United States v. McDaniel* ... 367-69
Rape law
historical development ... 906-11
proposals for reforming traditional consent requirements ... 911-17
Universal Criminal Jurisdictional
argument for application to newly-defined international crimes ... 834-39
as based on fundamental international norms ... 831-32
as based on international criminal law ... 827-29
as based on the doctrines of *ergo omnes & jus cogens* ... 829-31
Universality Principle as authority for assertion of criminal jurisdiction—
over Axis war crimes ... 800-15
over piracy ... 791-98
over slave trading ... 798-800
Use immunity generally ... 351-53
The use immunity statute ... 356-60
The use of compelled testimony under *Kastigar v. United States* ... 360-61

CRIMINAL SENTENCING

Accounting for other relevant circumstances ... 48-53
Assessing the seriousness of a crime ... 41-47
Determining the method of punishment ... 53-58
Drafting principles for a comprehensive system ... 14-24
Sentencing goals
Accuracy ... 12
Administrative difficulties ... 11
Computerized system for processing variables ... 11
Necessity of a distributive principle ... 6-8
Stimulation of refinement ... 13
Uniformity in treatment of similar cases ... 8-10
Workability ... 10
Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 ... 1-4

D

DEFAMATION

Accusation as requiring successful communication ... 322-23
Accusation distinguished from other speech acts ... 316
The actual malice standard as encouraging discovery and chilling free expression ... 245
The actual malice standard as not accounting for first amendment concerns about distant forum litigation ... 262-63
The actual malice standard as not lending itself to summary judgment disposition ... 285-86
Affirmation of the independent appellate review requirement in *Bose Corp. v. Consumers Union of the United States, Inc.* ... 274-77
Categories of accusation ... 318-22
Circumstances and the identity of the accuser and the accused as determining wrongful accusation ... 325-28

The conflict between the seventh amendment and independent appellate review in public person defamation cases 280-82
Criticism of *New York Times Co. v. Sullivan*. 218-220, 237-39
Criticism of the independent appellate review requirement in public person defamation cases 273-74, 282-84, 293-94
Critics of public officials as sheltered from liability for libel under *New York Times Co. v. Sullivan* 218-220, 237-39
Criticism of the independent appellate review requirement in public person defamation cases 273-74, 282-84, 293-94
Critics of public officials as sheltered from liability for libel under *New York Times Co. v. Sullivan* 217-18, 234-36
Damages and the distinction between reports and accusations..... 348-49
Defining defamation as accusation... 312-14
The degree of proof required in public person defamation cases as a procedural deviation 239-41
Distinguishing acceptable criticism from wrongful accusation 328-29
The editorial privilege reflected in *Herbert v. Lando* 246-48
Factual reports as distinguished from accusations 334-36
The failure of the actual malice standard to avoid litigation costs, and the associated chilling of free expression 238-39
The failure of the Supreme Court to address the degree of proof required to establish falsity in public person defamation cases ... 244-45
The failure of the Supreme Court to address the tension between the actual malice standard and independent appellate review 280
The force and content of reports as distinguishing them from accusations 331-33
Identifying opinions..... 344-48
The impact of first amendment concerns on personal jurisdiction issues..... 257-60
Independent appellate review of defamation trial records under *New York Times Co. v. Sullivan* 271-73
Independent appellate review of elements other than actual malice in public person defamation cases . 284
Inferring accusatory intent 315
Judicial support for defining defamation as accusation 314-15
A more lenient standard for summary judgment as a procedural deviation justified in defamation cases 288-91, 299-300
Need for consideration of the first amendment effects of distant litigation in defamation suits . 263, 267-71
Neutral reportage as distinguished from accusation 339-41
Perfect procedure analysis of defamation law procedure..... 231-33
Placing blame or discredit as an element of accusation 316-17
The plaintiff's burden of moving falsity in public person defamation cases 241-44
Problems with the accuracy of summary judgment on issues involving state of mind, such as actual malice 298-99
The procedural costs of an editorial privilege in public person defamation cases 254-55
The procedural justification for independent appellate review in public person defamation cases 277-80, 282
Procedural need for the actual malice standard in defamation cases 236-37
Procedural standards supporting the first amendment protection from liability for defamation 220
The propositional content of accusation as varying according to community standards 317-18
The relevance of the clear and convincing standard of proof of actual malice to summary judgment in defamation cases..... 291-93
Remedying problems with the actual malice standard in public person defamation cases 300-02
Reporting accusation as distinguished from accusation 336-39
The requirement of successful communication and the nature of defamation damages 3323-25
Retractions and corrections as undoing an accusation..... 333-34
Speculative nature of libel damages distinguish libel cases from reliance cases 1159-61
Speech Act theory and defamation .. 305-06
The Supreme Court's development of first-amendment defamation law after *New York Times Co. v. Sullivan*..... 220-21
The traditional definition of defamation as failing to address the nature of the defamatory language itself 311-12
Who may accuse whom under *New York Times Co. v. Sullivan* 329-30
A workable modification of defamation summary judgment procedure 294-98
Wrongful accusation defined 325

DISCRIMINATION

Absence of victim testimony from government's case in *EEOC v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.* 1639-43
Academic freedom as a shield for discrimination by faculty peer review boards 1421-26
Analysis of notable university-based discrimination cases and the courts' tendency to defer to institutional decisions 1595-1600
Analysis of the conflict between academic freedom and nondiscrimination 1592-94
Application of classical discrimination theory in Title VII litigation involving university faculty 1602-05
Background of *EEOC v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.* litigation 1633-34
Comparison of judicial attitudes in academic vis a vis nonacademic discrimination cases 1627-28
Confidentiality necessary for academic freedom conflicts with need for disclosure of faculty review comments in discrimination suits 1423
Courts' resistance to claims of sex discrimination not unique to university-based claims 1623-24
Critics excluded Rosenberg's written rebutal from the documentary record 1653-54
Defense of Rosenberg's testimony in the context created by EEOC's argument 1651-53
Disparate impact analysis as challenge to subjective employment practices and possible effect on university discrimination cases ... 1622-23
Disparate impact theory of discrimination has met with little success where qualifications are professional 1601-02
Extreme judicial deference to institutional faculty decisions in sex discrimination cases 1596-1600
Flawed assumptions in EEOC's statistical analysis 1643-48
Impact of Rosenberg controversy on history profession 1657-58
Impact of *Sears* ruling on women's rights 1656
Institutional autonomy as a defense to faculty discrimination claims ... 1594
Intellectual honesty motivated Rosenberg to testify for Sears 1650
Judicial deference in faculty discrimination cases and its effect on plaintiff's remedies 1608-10
Judicial identification with the academic power structure as the source of judicial deference to institutions 1595-1600
Kessler-Harris' misunderstanding of the issues in the litigation .. 1651, 1653-56
Lack of success noted in reverse discrimination suits by white male faculty members 1610-12
Proof problems under title VII litigation involving university faculty 1600-05
Recent title VII cases and the trend toward a lesser burden for plaintiffs in professional employment 1604-05
Response to Professor Gray's interpretation of deference of federal judges in academic discrimination suits 1618-19
Testimony of Professors Rosenberg and Kessler-Harris in *EEOC v. Sears, Roebuck & Co.* .. 1634-36, 1648-56
Title VII as protecting education institution employees 1598-1600

DUE PROCESS

Fairness & due process concerns in the assertion of personal jurisdiction 741-43; 766-71

E

EDUCATION

Review of *Democratic Education* by Amy Gutmann 1229

F

FEDERAL INCOME TAXATION

Argument for accrual taxation 179-80
Argument for interest charge for deferral 180-82
Argument for limiting capital losses associated with marketable securities 182-83
Complexity of the ordinary income versus capital income 176-77
Horizontal and vertical equity affected by capital loss limitation .. 171-76
Investment affected by capital loss limitations 169-71
Justification for limiting capital losses 162-69

FEDERALISM

Apparent power of a state to prevent interstate transfers of unappropriated groundwater by restricting intrastate transfers 1146-47
Claims of state ownership of groundwater as a possible rationale for allowing states to restrict interstate transfer 1147-52
Commerce clause as a rationale for forbidding state interference in the marketplace by discriminating against nonresidents 1116-17
Costs and inefficiencies of state restrictions on the movement of goods in the marketpiace 1107-10
Discrimination by a state against nonresidents through measures that increase wealth 1105-06
Discrimination by a state against nonresidents through redistribution of wealth 1105-06
Discussion of an absolute prohibition of discrimination by states against nonresidents in the movement of natural resources in the marketplace 1109
Downstream restraints as a form of impure state subsidies 1143
Downstream restraints compared with pure state subsidies 1143-45
The economic impact of pure state subsidies 1135-37
Efficiency of allowing states to give preferences to residents on goods it creates 1112-13
Efficiency argument for denying states the power to restrict the flow of groundwater by restricting intrastate transfers 1145-46
Exempting proprietary activities of a state from the rule against giving preferences to residents 1139-43
Impure state subsidies defined 1138-39
Inefficiency of allowing states to prefer residents in the allocation of natural resources 1112-14
Interstate Equality as a rationale for preventing discrimination against nonresidents by a state 1101-04
The origin and early interpretation of the privileges and immunities clause 1118-21
Post-civil war cases and the interpretation of the privileges and immunities clause 1129-32
Pre-civil war cases and the interpretation of the privileges and immunities clause 1128-29
Pricing preferences favoring residents in the distribution of state-created goods; 1114-16
Privileges and immunities clause promoting interstate commerce .. 1127-28
Privileges and immunities clause protecting business interest 1121-25
Privileges and immunities clause protecting fundamental rights ... 1125-27
Privileges and immunities clause as a rationale for forbidding state interference in the marketplace by discriminating against nonresidents 1117-18
Proprietary activities as an impure state subsidy 1139
Pure state subsidies defined 1134-35
State sovereignty as a rationale for allowing discrimination against nonresidents by a state 1103-04

FREEDOM OF RELIGION

debtors' argument that denial of Chapter 13 status burdens religious practice 887-92
Establishment clause does not pose an obstacle to allowing debtor tithing 897-902

FREEDOM OF SPEECH

Application of incitement theory to cases involving reliance on published material 1162-71
Commercial speech theory applied in cases involving published material 1171-73
Conflicting principles of tort liability and first amendment speech protection in cases involving reliance on published material ... 1155-58
Flaws in application of the incitement theory to reliance cases 1169-71
Published material that invites reliance deserves no special protection because it rarely addresses issues of public concern or expresses opinions 1161
State interest in protecting citizens from certain types of speech 1174-76

I

IMMIGRATION LAW

Alien constitutional rights and the traditional judicial deference to the legislative and executive branches in matters of national security ... 1500-01
The constitutional rights of excludable and deportable aliens . 1505-11
The foreign policy rationale for the exclusion of aliens who advocate certain political ideas 1551-53
The history of American immigration laws permitting the expulsion of aliens for advocating certain political beliefs 1492-94

The McCarran-Walter Act and the government's power to deport aliens for advocating certain political beliefs 1489-92
The McCarran-Walter Act's effect of preventing or discouraging foreign academics from visiting American universities 1496-1500, 1548
The national security argument for the exclusion of aliens who advocate certain political ideas 1501-05, 1551
Restricting alien speech as antithetical to the marketplace of ideas theory 1486-87, 1518-20
Restrictions on alien speech as incompatible with academic freedom 1259-61
Restrictions on alien speech as particularly affecting the academy . 1558
The right of an American audience to receive information as balanced against the power of government to exclude aliens espousing certain ideas 1507, 1549-50, 1553-57
University response to immigration laws restricting the entry of aliens espousing communist views 1494-95
World conditions and the increasing interdependence of nations as favoring greater freedom for alien speech in the United States 1553

INCOME TAX

Argument for accrual taxation 179-80
Argument for interest charge for deferral 180-82
Argument for limiting capital losses associated with marketable securities 182-83
Complexity of the ordinary income versus capital income 176-77
Horizontal and vertical equity affected by capital loss limitation .. 171-76
Investment affected by capital loss limitations 169-71

INTERNATIONAL LAW

Multilateral conventions concerning war crimes, hijacking, terrorism, apartheid and torture 816-19
Multilateral conventions—effect on rights and obligations of state nonparties to exercise universal criminal jurisdiction 823-34
"Piracy" defined under international law 795-98
Universality principle as authority for asserting criminal jurisdiction
over Axis war crimes 800-15
over piracy 791-98
over slave trading 798-800
"Universality principle" defined 788
Universal criminal jurisdiction
argument for application to newly-defined international crimes 834-39
as based on fundamental international norms 831-32
as based on international criminal law 827-29
as based on the doctrines of *erga omnes* and *jus cogens* 829-31

J

JUDICIAL REVIEW

Traditional arguments supporting judicial review 967

JURISDICTION

Activities of defendant as a basis of general jurisdiction 735-48
Activities of defendant—substantive relevance to assertion of general and specific jurisdictions 736-41
Adjudicative jurisdiction distinguished from legislative or choice-of-law jurisdiction 772
Argument for "unique affiliations" requirement as a prerequisite to general legislative jurisdiction 779-82
Consent as a basis of personal jurisdiction 755-60
Domicile as an affiliation supporting general jurisdiction 728-30
Fairness in asserting general jurisdiction on the basis of activities unrelated to the litigation 741-43
Intrastate versus interstate activities distinguished as bases of general jurisdiction 743-48
Place of incorporation and principal place of business as affiliations supporting general jurisdiction 733-35
Property unrelated to the lawsuit as a basis for assertion of jurisdiction
generally 760-62
due process 766-71
Transient Jurisdiction
development of current law 748-52
justifications 752-55
Universality principle as authority for asserting criminal jurisdiction
over Axis war crimes 800-15
over piracy 791-98
over slave trading 798-800
Universal criminal jurisdiction—application to newly-defined international crimes 834-39
Universal criminal jurisdiction as based on fundamental international norms 831-32

as based on international criminal law 827-29
as based on the doctrines of *erga omnes & jus cogens* 829-31
effect of multilateral conventions .. 816-34

JURISPRUDENCE

Argument for application of due process to private contracts 1038-41
Common law due process governing voluntary associations 1042-49
Common law due process raised by the implied duty of good faith and fair dealing 1056-57
Concentration of economic power threatens the integrity of contracting as democratic private lawmaking 1032-33
Contractual discretion engenders a threat of arbitrariness in private law 1033-35
Courts apply common law due process to commercial contracts occasionally 1049
Courts imply objective fair dealing in employment contracts 1065-67
Courts restrict discretion in loan contracts, thus creating an objective fair dealing standard ... 1061-64
Courts restrict discretion in satisfaction terms, thus creating an objectivE fair dealing standard... 1060-61
Courts restrict discretion under franchise agreement 1064-65
Due process as an evolving common law concept 1050-51
Economic impact of contractual due process 1067-69
Minimum decencies required in form contracts 1054-55
The need for an objective duty of fair dealing 1057-60
Policy against forfeitures justifies asserting common law due process in contracts 1054
Proposition that private contracts are within government by law 1024-1025
Public policy justifies asserting common law due process in contracts 1052-54
Requirement of government enforcement necessarily restricts freedom of contract 1027-28
Rule of law analysis implies that common and statutory laws create a framework restricting types of contracts that can be made..... 1025
State action doctrine prevents application of the due process clause to private parties 1040-42
Substantive limits on contracting 1026
Terms implied by law as effectively bringing due process to private contract law 1055-56
The vast majority of modern contracts are form contracts, which are inherently 7undemocratic 1031-32

L

LABOR LAW

Academic support for the application of antitrust law to labor combinations 954-55
The application of the Interstate Commerce Act to labor combinations 952-53
The application of the Sherman Act to labor combinations 950-54, 956-58
The closed shop movement and academic support for the application of antitrust law to labor combinations 955-56
Common law due process governing voluntary associations 1042-49
The concern for consumer, welfare as affecting attitudes at the turn of the century toward labor combinations 927-28
The conspiracy theory of labor organizing as treated by the American courts 922-24
Economic differences betw4een labOr combinations and business combinations 946-48
The hostility of the labor law literature of the late nineteenth century toward labor combinations 925-32
Increased judicial hostility toward organized labor at the turn of the century 919-21
Labor injunctions under the Sherman and Clayton Acts..... 962-64
Labor's opposition to scientific management as identifying the labor movement with economic inefficiency 943-45
Nineteenth century views of competition as affecting attitudes toward labor organization and trade restraint 935-38
The peculiar vulnerability of labor combinations under the antitrust laws..... 958-65
Reasons for labor's choice not to incorporate to protect itself from Sherman Act attacks..... 958-62
The tort theory of labor organizing.. 924
Turn of the century view that labor combinations are economically inefficient because they lead to increased costs without increased productivity 938-45
Views about the labor supply at the turn of the century as affecting attitudes toward labor combinations 928-31

The wage fund doctrine as supporting the theory that labor combinations are inefficient 941-43

LEGAL HISTORY

Academic support for the application of antitrust law to labor combinations 954-55
The application of the Interstate Commerce Act to labor combinations 952-53
The application of the Sherman Act to labor combinations 950-54, 956-58
The closed shop movement and academic support for the application of antitrust law to labor combinations 955-56
The concern for consumer, welfare as affecting attitudes at the turn of the century toward labor combinations 927-28
The conspiracy theory of labor organizing as treated by the American courts 922-24
Early use of the Sherman Act 948-50
The hostility of the labor law literature of the late nineteenth century toward labor combinations 925-32
Increased judicial hostility toward organized labor at the turn of the century 919-21
Labor injunctions under the Sherman and Clayton Acts 962-64
Labor's opposition to scientific management as identifying the labor movement with economic inefficiency 943-45
Late nineteenth century political economics theories about combinations 924-25
The nineteenth century common law of trade restraints 932-35
Nineteenth century views of competition as affecting attitudes toward labor organization and trade restraints 935-38
The peculiar vulnerability of labor combinations under the antitrust laws 958-65
Reasons for labor's choice not to incorporate to protect itself from Sherman Act attacks 958-62
The tort theory of labor organizing .. 924
Turn of the century view that labor combinations are economically inefficient because they lead to increased costs without increased productivity 938-45
Turn of the century views of business combinations as increasing efficiency 938-39
Views about the labor supply at the turn of the century as affecting attitudes toward labor combinations 928-31
The wage fund as supporting the theory that labor combinations are inefficient 941-43

LEGAL PHILOSOPHY

Colloquy on the human voice in legal discourse 577-645
Review of *The Trial of John Peter Zenger, A Play in Five Scenes*, by Michael E. Tigar 715-19

LEGAL PROFESSION

Attorney responsibility for managing class actions 393-95
Cooperation among courts and attorneys as ensuring the proper conduct of class action 405-09
The lawyer's role in class actions 386
The requirement of adequate representation and the role of the class action lawyer 400-02
Traditional solutions to the problem of the lawyer's role in class actions 397-402
The unique pressures placed upon class action lawyers 389

LEGISLATION

Congress failed to include clear legislative guidance in passing BAFJA 875-76
Legislative history of the Federal Sunshine Act 1216-20
Interpretive issues under the Federal Sunshine Act, definition of meeting 1203-04
Meeting, definition under the Federal Sunshine Act 1201-03
Purposes and general requirements of the Federal Sunshine Act 1198-1201

LEGISLATURES

Comparison of the tenure of federal judges and the tenure of members of the House of Representatives ... 981-83
Impact of the high reelection rate of incumbent members of the House of Representatives on members' votes 971-81
Impact of the Supreme Court's possible responsiveness to electoral incentives on its review of federal legislation 985-91
Procedural differences and similarities between the courts and legislatures 969-71
Similarities in the responsiveness of the members of the House of Representatives and judges to the electorate responsible for putting them in office 983-85

P

PAYMENT SYSTEMS

Applications of loss allocation rules in countermands 110-23
fraud at the point of origin 99-103
fraud in post-processing losses 114-18
fraud in transmission 103-10
Interaction of loss allocation principles 84-86
Loss imposition and enforcement of assigned liability 78-84
Loss spreading principle 70-73
Loss reduction principle 73-77
Mathematical justification of loss allocation rules 125-30
Payment of an invalid instrument under proposed rules 87-92
Present loss allocation legislation 63-66
Refusal to pay valid instrument under proposed rules 92-97
Transaction costs in consumer payment 67-70

PRODUCTS LIABILITY

Judicial acceptance of strict products liability in publisher liability cases involving flight charts 1186-88

PROPERTY

Defeasible fees and equitable servitudes—common elements 548-49
The dichotomy between the contract and the antirestrictions policy 539-48
Five areas in which the law must be modified to allow for a unified theory of defeasible fees and equitable servitudes 564-73
Freedom of contract 539-42
The need for unification of defeasible fees and equitable servitudes 533-76
Remedies as the key difference between defeasible fees and equitable servitudes 548-52
Servitudes and conditions as functional equivalents 536-39
Servitudes and conditions in gross ... 552-55
The touch and concern requirement as a distinction between defeasible fees and equitable servitudes 555-57
The unification of defeasible fees and equitable servitudes 559-64

PUBLIC LAW

Arguments that public choice theory may enhance voter awareness and improve government 1005-06
Arguments that public choice theory threatens to undermine the democratic process 1002-05
Economic interests as predictors of special interest group behavior .. 999-1000
The failure of public choice theory as a predictor of voting patterns 1016-18
Ideology as a predictor of voting patterns in legislatures 999
Public choice theory as an analytical tool to predict political behavior .. 996-97
Public choice theory as a framework for possible reforms to reduce special interest power 1008-09
Public choice theory's possible distortion of public law theory ... 1010-11
Results of special interest influence on legislative actions 1002
The role of the courts in reviewing rent-bestowing governmental actions 1009-12
Special interest groups impact on legislation 1000-02

R

RAPE

(*see* CRIMINAL LAW)

REMEDIES

Hobbs Act—history, requirements, and limitations 659-74
Requirements for civil liability under RICO 651-57
Shareholders' remedy for greenmail 649-59
The use of civil RICO as a shareholders' remedy for greenmail 675-76
The use of Hobbs Act extortion as the predicate act for civil RICO .. 676-81

S

SECURITIES FRAUD

The abandonment of the broker-dealer and privity distinctions in favor of a unified theory of loss causation 510-15
Common-law fraud—case law 495-500
Compensating the plaintiff who is induced to undertake increased risk 522-30
Damages formulation guidelines 484-94
Loss causation in securities fraud—case law 477-84
Measures of damages in securities fraud litigation 471-77
A model for determining loss causation in securities fraud litigation 506-30
Need for clarification of the touches-upon test of loss causation 516-18
The rejection of full recovery for gross losses 507-10
The requirement of loss causation in securities fraud litigation 471-84

The requirement of proximate cause in securities fraud litigation 494-506
Shifting the burden of proof on loss causation to the defendant in securities fraud litigation 516-18

SECURITIES LAWS

(*see also* CORPORATIONS; SECURITIES FRAUD; SHARES & SHAREHOLDERS)

SHARES & SHAREHOLDERS

Business judgment rule—application in corporate takeover contests to defensive tactics by incumbent directors 854-65
Proposed "objective factors" approach for analyzing takeover contest decision-making of incumbent directors as an alternative to the business judgment rule 866-70
Shareholder suits challenging directors' use of defensive tactics in takeover contests distinguished from suits challenging other business decisions 847-54

T

TAXATION

Argument for accrual taxation 179-80
Argument for interest charge for deferral 180-82
Argument for limiting capital losses associated with marketable securities 182-83
Complexity of the ordinary income versus capital income 176-77
Horizontal and vertical equity affected by capital loss limitation .. 171-76
Investment affected by capital loss limitations 169-71
Justification for limiting capital losses 162-69

TORT LAW

Application of incitement theory to cases involving reliance on published material 1162-71
Commercial speech theory applied in cases involving published material 1171-73
Courts tend to force publisher reliance cases into preexisting speech categories 1158-59
Dangers of denying recovery for reliance on published material 1162
Definition of a positive theory of tort law 191-93
Defenses to a claim against a public meteorologist for negligent weather forecasting 701-12
Distinction between property rights and liability rules 209-11
Duty owed by public meteorologists to the general public and to special groups 685-95
Duty owed by private meteorologists 697-99
Economic efficiency as a mere rationalization, not an explanation 193-200
Flaws in application of the incitement theory to reliance cases 1169-71
Information and administrative costs explaining structure of tort rules .. 208-09
Limitation of public meteorologists' liability to life threatening activities 695-97
Meteorologists' liability for negligent weather forecasting 683-713
Negligence theory as applied to published material and the duty owed by a publisher 1171-82
Negligence misrepresentation as a theory for reliance on published material 1182-84
Proposal of reckless misrepresentation as alternative theory in publisher liability cases . 1190-92
Proximate cause of injuries due to negligent weather forecasting 699-701
Proximate cause in intentional torts . 501-06
Proximate cause in securities fraud cases 494-506
Scholar's attraction to interdisciplinary and simplistic theories 202-05
State interest in protecting citizens from certain types of speech 1174-76
"Stochastic" character of negligence . 205-08
Traditional scholarship as a positive theory 200-02

W

WATER LAW

Efficiency argument for denying states the power to restrict the flow of groundwater by restricting intrastate transfers 1145-46
Apparent power of a state to prevent interstate transfers of unappropriated groundwater by restricting intrastate transfers 1146-48
Claims of state ownership of groundwater as a possible rationale for allowing states to restrict interstate transfer 1147-52